



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/690,613

10/23/2003

Christopher Douglas Moffatt

HAR62 014

5924

77617 7590 01/14/2009

Duane Morris LLP (Harris Corp.)

IP Department

505 9th Street N.W.

Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004-2166

EXAMINER

LUGO, DAVID B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/690,613	Applicant(s) MOFFATT ET AL.	
	Examiner DAVID B. LUGO	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-12, filed 10/14/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a different application of the previously applied references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corral U.S. Patent 6,925,128 in view of Weerackody U.S. Patent 6,950,389 and Feng et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0146115.

Regarding claim 1, Corral discloses a method for reducing the peak-to-average power ratio of a communication signal (see Fig. 7) comprising sequencing data according to one or more unique sequences by reordering elements (step 706) which creates a plurality of candidate input vectors (col. 11, lines 29-31), modulating one of the unique sequences of data (step 708), and selecting one of the modulated sequences of data based upon a selection criteria (step 712). Corral further discloses the inclusion of side information, considered an appended signal, having information regarding the reordering for enabling recovery of the data by the receiver (col. 9, lines 59-64). Corral also recites that digital samples of the signal are output from processor 108 (see col. 9, lines 43-48). Thus, samples are provided at the output of processor 108 (Fig. 1). Accordingly, the step of sampling the appended sequenced data is deemed a design consideration

Art Unit: 2611

that fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Corral, as Corral also provides a sampled output for further processing. Corral also discloses that clipping can be combined with other PAPR methods (col. 5, lines 5-7). Corral does not expressly disclose that the selection of the sequences is based on a comparison of a peak-to-average power ratio of the sequence to a predetermined threshold, and also does not expressly disclose reducing the amplitude of samples which exceed a predetermined range to create a reduced amplitude signal, and filtering the reduced amplitude signal to create a communication signal with a reduced PAPR.

Weerackody discloses a method of peak-to-average power reduction including measuring the PAPR of a signal (Fig. 4 – step 403, see col. 4, lines 26-34), comparing the PAPR with a predetermined threshold (step 404), if the PAPR exceeds the threshold, selecting a new data signal (step 407) and repeating the modulating measuring comparing steps until the PAPR does not exceed the threshold (col. 1, line 66 to col. 2, line 2), and if the PAPR does not exceed the threshold, transmitting the signal (col. 1, lines 63-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PAPR method of Weerackody in the system of Corral as it is an alternative way of ensuring that the PAPR is reduced which one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize to be an art-recognized equivalent.

Feng discloses a PAPR reduction approach where the amplitude levels of the transmitted signal exceeding a threshold is reduced, and the reduced signal is subsequently filtered prior to transmission (para. 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Feng with the method of Corral to provide further PAPR reduction which can offer advantages in terms of reducing hardware complexity (see Corral, col. 5, lines 5-7).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 2, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the filtering operation of Feng would also result in the some attenuation of adjacent samples.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Corral discloses a method of transmitting data in a multi-carrier communication system (see Fig. 7) comprising sequencing data according to one or more unique sequences by reordering elements (step 706) which creates a plurality of candidate input vectors (col. 11, lines 29-31), modulating one of the unique sequences of data (step 708), and selecting one of the modulated sequences of data based upon a selection criteria (step 712). Corral, also discloses that clipping can be combined with other PAPR methods (col. 5, lines 5-7). Corral does not expressly disclose that the selection of the sequences is based on a comparison of a peak-to-average power ratio of the sequence to a first threshold, and also does not expressly disclose filtering the selected sequence to remove amplitude peaks outside a second threshold band to create a filtered signal, and transmitting the filtered signal.

Weerackody discloses a method of peak-to-average power reduction including selecting a signal to be transmitted based on a comparison of the PAPR of a signal with a threshold (Fig. 4; col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 9; col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PAPR method of Weerackody in the system of Corral as it is an alternative way of ensuring that the PAPR is reduced which one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize to be an art-recognized equivalent.

Feng discloses a PAPR reduction approach where the amplitude levels of the transmitted signal exceeding a threshold is reduced, and the reduced signal is subsequently filtered prior to transmission (para. 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Feng with the method of Corral and Weerackody to provide further PAPR

Art Unit: 2611

reduction which can offer advantages in terms of reducing hardware complexity (see Corral, col. 5, lines 5-7).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, as disclosed by Feng, filtering includes comparing the amplitude levels to a threshold and reducing the amplitudes exceeding the threshold. Further, Corral discloses that digital samples of the signal are output from processor 108 (see col. 9, lines 43-48). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the comparison of Feng may be made in the digital domain using samples as a matter of design consideration.

Regarding claims 5 and 10, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the filtering operation of Feng would also result in the some attenuation of adjacent samples.

Regarding claim 6, Corral discloses a method of preventing limiting of a linear amplifier in a multi-carrier communication system (see Fig. 7) comprising sequencing data according to one or more unique sequences by reordering elements (step 706) which creates a plurality of candidate input vectors (col. 11, lines 29-31), and modulating one of the unique sequences of data (step 708). Corral discloses that digital samples of the signal are output from processor 108 (see col. 9, lines 43-48). Thus, samples are provided at the output of processor 108 (Fig. 1). Accordingly, the step of sampling the modulated sequenced data is deemed a design consideration that fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Corral, as Corral also provides a sampled output for further processing. Corral, further discloses that clipping can be combined with other PAPR methods (col. 5, lines 5-7). Corral does not expressly disclose that the sequencing is based on a comparison of a peak-to-average power ratio of the sequence to a first threshold, and also does not expressly disclose reducing amplitudes of samples outside a predetermined threshold, and transmitting the resultant signal.

Art Unit: 2611

Weerackody discloses a method of peak-to-average power reduction including selecting a signal to be transmitted based on a comparison of the PAPR of a signal with a threshold (Fig. 4; col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 9; col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PAPR method of Weerackody in the system of Corral as it is an alternative way of ensuring that the PAPR is reduced which one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize to be an art-recognized equivalent.

Feng discloses a PAPR reduction approach where the amplitude levels of the transmitted signal exceeding a threshold is reduced, and the reduced signal is subsequently filtered prior to transmission (para. 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Feng with the method of Corral and Weerackody to provide further PAPR reduction which can offer advantages in terms of reducing hardware complexity (see Corral, col. 5, lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 7, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the filtering operation of Feng would also result in the some attenuation of adjacent samples.

Regarding claim 11, Corral discloses a transmitter in Fig. 1 in a multi-carrier communications system for transmitting data with multiple carriers comprising a modulator 108 for modulating multi-carrier symbols with the data (col. 9, lines 35-41), a processor (calculator 204 – Fig. 2) for measuring the peak-to-average power ratio of the modulated data (col. 10, lines 20-23), a logic device (comparator 114) to choose a desired output, and a processor (reorderer 104) for resequencing the data. Corral also discloses that clipping can be combined with other PAPR methods (col. 5, lines 5-7). Corral does not expressly disclose comparing the PAPR with

Art Unit: 2611

a predetermined threshold, and also does not expressly disclose an amplitude filter for reducing peaks of the modulated data signal that are outside a predetermined range.

Weerackody discloses a method of peak-to-average power reduction including selecting a signal to be transmitted based on a comparison of the PAPR of a signal with a threshold (Fig. 4; col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 9; col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the PAPR method of Weerackody in the system of Corral as it is an alternative way of ensuring that the PAPR is reduced which one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize to be an art-recognized equivalent.

Feng discloses a PAPR reduction approach where the amplitude levels of the transmitted signal exceeding a threshold is reduced, and the reduced signal is subsequently filtered prior to transmission (para. 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Feng with the method of Corral to provide further PAPR reduction which can offer advantages in terms of reducing hardware complexity (see Corral, col. 5, lines 5-7).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, FIR and IIR filters are well known in the art of digital filtering. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that FIR or IIR filters may be implemented in the filter of Feng as a matter of design consideration.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID B. LUGO whose telephone number is (571)272-3043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-6.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3066. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David B. Lugo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611
1/13/09